

## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY:

REGION VII 726 MINNESOTA AVENUE KANSAS CITY, KANSAS 66101 ID 0: 1009 80/633 AGENCY: 11-1 217/1987

JUL 07 1987

Ms. Nancy Newkirk Clean Sites, Inc. 1199 North Fairfax Street Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Re: Martha C. Rose Chemicals, Inc. Site Holden, Missouri

Dear Ms. Newkirk:

Enclosed are copies in the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) possession which relate to the companies and other entities identified on the document entitled "APPROXIMATELY 65 PRPs NEWLY IDENTIFIED BY EPA WHICH ARE NOT ON ANY CSI PRP LISTS - APRIL 27, 1987," which was submitted to me by Gary Johnson by letter dated May 20, 1987. In a recent telephone conversation, you requested that EPA provide you with the documents that led to EPA's decision to identify the 65 as potentially responsible parties in the above-referenced matter.

The entire Attachment A relates to the broker, Newkirk Electric Associates and their "generator" customers which are: (1) United Technologies Automotive, Inc. - Alma Plastics Division; (2) Belding Products; (3) The City of Flint, Michigan; (4) Higgins Industries, Inc.; (5) Liberty Dairy Company, Inc; (6) Mitchell Corporation; (7) Burgess-Norton Manufacturing Company - Standard Automotive Parts Division; (8) Sturgis Municipal Electric Plant; and (9) Master Unit Die Products. Attachment A-1 includes copies of records obtained from the Rose Chemical site; Attachment A-2 is a copy of Newkirk Electric's response to EPA's informational request letter (104 letter); and Attachments A-3 through A-10 are Newkirk Electric's generator customers' (except for Master Unit Die Products who has not yet responded) response to EPA's 104 letters.

The entire Attachment B relates to the broker Transformer Protective Maintenance's (TPM) "generator" customers which are: (1) USX Corporation - USS Division; (2) International Paper Company; and (3) Sturm Ruger and Company, Inc. Attachment B-1 is a copy of a record from the Rose Chemical site; B-2 is

40025936 SUPERFUND RECORDS

TPM's response to EPA's 104 letter; and B-3 through B-4 are TPM's generator customers' (except from Sturm Ruger and Company, Inc. who has not responded) responses to EPA's 104 letters.

The entire Attachment C relates to the broker, Bouille Electric, Inc.'s generator customers which are ITT Grinnell Valve Company, Inc. - Kennedy Valve Division and GTE Products Corporation. C-l and C-2 are responses to EPA's 104 letters. We have not received a response from GTE Products Corporation.

Attachment D relates to the broker, Transformer Services, Inc.'s generator customers, the State of New York Department of Health and New England Grocers. D-1 through D-3 are responses to EPA's 104 letters. Mohawk Valley Psychiatric Center was formerly known as Marcy Psychiatric Center.

The following is a list of the remaining 65 PRPs with a brief description on how they were identified and the number for the attachment that formed the basis for the designation as a PRP.

- 1. Bon Homme Yankton Electric Association
  Identified as a PRP in Clay-Union Electric Corporation's response (E-1) and in Union County Electric Cooperative, Inc.'s response (E-2) to EPA's 104 letters.
- 2. Butler County Rural Electric Cooperative (Butler) Identified as a PRP in a letter dated February 5, 1987, from Clean Sites, Inc. to Ms. Jan Walstrom, who is with our contractor Black & Veatch, and in Butler's response to EPA's 104 letter (F-1).
- 3. Chatham Market #29
  Identified as a PRP in Recovery Specialist, Inc.'s response to EPA's 104 letter (G-1).
- 4. City of Kansas City, Missouri (City)

  Identified as PRP in Boese Hilburn Company's response
  (H-1) and in the City's response (H-2) to EPA's 104
  letters.
- 5. Dayton Light & Power Department (Dayton)
  Identified as a PRP in Greene County REC's response
  (I-1) and in Dayton's response (I-2) to EPA's 104
  letters.

- 6. Defense Industrial Plant Equipment Center (Center)
  Identified as a PRP in Atchison Engineering's response
  (J-1) and in the Center's response (J-2) to EPA's
  104 letters.
- 7. Minneapolis Community Development Agency (Agency)
  Identified as a PRP in Carl Bolander & Sons Company's response (K-1) and in the Agency's response (K-2) to EPA's letters.
- 8. Southern Pine Electric Cooperative, Inc. (Southern)
  Identified as a PRP in Alabama Electric Cooperative
  Inc.'s responses (L-1 and L-2) and in Southern's
  response (L-3 and L-4) to EPA's 104 letters.
- 9. Village of Wharton (Wharton)
  Identified as a PRP in Village of Carey's response
  (M-1) and in Wharton's response (M-2) to EPA's 104
  letters.
- 10. Yazoo City Public Service Commission

  Identified as a PRP in Canton Municipal Utilities'
  response (N-1) and in Clarksdale Water and Light
  Department's response (N-2) to EPA's 104 letters.
- 11. Chemical Plant Services
  Identified as a PRP on Rose invoice #2901 (0-1).
- 12. Contours, Inc.

  Identified as a PRP on invoice #1996 (P-1) and in Contours, Inc.'s response to EPA's 104 letter (P-2).
- 13. H&G Associates, Inc. (H&G)

  Identified as a PRP on Rose invoice #2723 (Q-1) and in H&G's response to EPA's letter (Q-2). H&G has been removed from EPA's PRP listing.
- 14. Hardin County Rural Electric Cooperative
  Identified as a PRP from Rose Site files on Jerry's
  Electric, Inc.'s manifests #0457 and #M-12-27-83 (R-1).
- 15. Iowa Association of Electric Cooperatives (IAEC)
  Identified as a PRP from Rose Site files on IAEC's
  manifests #'s 054, 055, 056, 057, 058, 060 (S-1).
  While IAEC members may individually be assuming
  liability for its PCBs and PCB items, EPA still
  considers IAEC to be a PRP.

- 16. Line Construction & Service Company Identified as a PRP on Rose invoice #2194 (T-1).
- 17. Long Island Lighting Company
  Identified as a PRP on Rose invoices #'s 2728, 2392, 2391, 2390 and 2389 (U-1).
- 18. MWR, Inc. Identified as a PRP on Rose invoice #1353 (V-1).
- 19. Missouri Edison Company (Edison)
  Identified as PRP from Rose site files in Edison's
  manifest #0380 (W-1) and in Union Electric's response
  to EPA's 104 letter (W-2). Edison was purchased by
  Union Electric Company.
- 20. Peabody Clean Industry, Inc. of Mass. (Peabody)
  Identified as a PRP from Rose site files in Peabody's
  manifest #NJ0119752 and invoice #105 (X-1) and in
  Internatinoal Flavors and Fragrances, Inc.'s
  responses to EPA's 104 letter (X-2 and X-3).
- 21. Rogers and Sons
  Identified as a PRP on Rose invoice #1997 (Y-1).
- 22. Sherman Lumber Company (Sherman)

  Identified as a PRP on Rose invoice #2830 (Z-1) and in Sherman's response to EPA's 104 letter (Z-2).
- 23. Stuntz Cooperative Light & Power Association (Stuntz) Identified as a PRP from Rose site files on HELPER, Inc.'s manifest #0005 (AA-1) and in Stuntz's response to EPA's 104 letter (AA-2).
- 24. Tecumseh Utility Authority (TUA)
  Identified as a PRP from Rose site files on TUA's
  manifest #093 (BB-1).
- 25. Truman Municipal Light Plant (Truman)
  Identified as a PRP from Rose site files on Truman's manifest #0645 (CC-1) and in Truman's responses to EPA's 104 letter (CC-2 and CC-3).
- Veterans Administration Medical Center Chillicothe,
  Ohio (V.A.)
  Identified as a PRP from Rose site files on invoice
  #2120 (DD-1), in Jess Howard Electric Company's
  response (DD-2), and in the V.A.'s response (DD-3)
  to EPA's 104 letters.

## BROKERS

- 27. Alamo Transformer Supply Company (Alamo)
  Identified as a PRP from Rose site files in manifest #0869 (EE-1) and in the City of Lockhart Utilities' response (EE-2), in Caldwell Municipal Light Department's response (EE-3) and in Alamo's response (EE-4) to EPA's 104 letters.
- 28. American Spill Control, Inc. (ASC)
  Identified as a PRP in the City of Dallas' response
  (FF-1) and In ASC's response (FF-2) to EPA's 104
  letters.
- 29. Apparatus Engineering Company
  Identified as a PRP in SCM Chemical's response to
  EPA's 104 letter (GG-1).
- 30. Bierlein Environmental Services (Bierlein)
  Identified as a PRP in General Motors Corporation's response (HH-1) and in Bierlein's response (HH-2) to EPA's 104 letters.
- 31. Cold Forming Machinery, Inc. (CFM)

  Identified as a PRP in Holland Board of Public
  Works' response to EPA's 104 letter (II-1) and in
  Rose invoice #1093 to CFM (II-2).
- 32. Dillard Smith Construction Company Power Engineering and Testing Division (Dillard)
  Identified as a PRP in Lenoir City Utilities Board's responses (JJ-1 and JJ-2) and in Dillard's response (JJ-3) to EPA's 104 letters.
- 33. Electric Equipment Service Corporation (EESC)
  Identified as a PRP in CENEX's response (KK-1), in
  Erie Mining Company's response (KK-2), in Energy
  Conservation Engineering's response (KK-3), in
  Hayes Contractors, Inc.'s response (KK-4), in MetalMatic, Inc.'s response (KK-5), in Metropolitan
  Medical Center's response (KK-6), in St. Cloud
  Hospital's response (KK-7), and in EESC's response
  (KK-8) to EPA's 104 letters.
- 34. Energy Conservation Engineering (ECE)
  Identified as a PRP in United Properties' response
  (LL-1) and in ECE's response (LL-2) to EPA's 104
  letters.

- 35. H&H Electrical Supply (H&H)

  Identified as a PRP in Emhart Corporation's response (MM-1) and in H&H's response (MM-2) to EPA's 104 letters.
- 36. <u>Hatzel and Buehler</u> Identified as a PRP in Mobil Oil Corporation's response to EPA's 104 letter (NN-1).
- 37. Hayes Contractors, Inc. (Hayes)
  Identified as a PRP in Hennepin Technical Center's response (00-1) and in Hayes' response (00-2) to EPA's 104 letters.
- 38. K&D Industrial Services (K&D)

  Identified as a PRP in Natinal Steel Corporation Great Lakes Steel Division's response (PP-1) and in
  K&D's response (PP-2) to EPA's 104 letter.
- 39. K.I.S. Associates, Inc.
  Identified as a PRP in Guyon General Piping, Inc.'s response to EPA's 104 letter (QQ-1).
- 40. Linder & Associates, Inc. (Linder)
  Identified as a PRP in the University of Kansas'
  response (RR-1) and in Linder's response (RR-2) to
  EPA's 104 letters.
- 41. Nelson Electric
  Identified in Cherry-Burrell Corporation's responses
  to EPA's 104 letter (SS-1 and SS-2).
- 42. Olsen & Hassold, Inc. (Olsen)
  Identified as a PRP in Beach Electric Company, Inc.'s response (TT-1), in St. Barnabas Medical Center's response (TT-2), in Dresser Industries, Inc. Worthington Pump Division's response (TT-3), and in Olsen's response (TT-4) to EPA's 104 letters.
- A3. Radiac Research Corporation
  Identified as a PRP in Federated Fry, Inc.'s response
  (UU-1), in Lehigh University's response (UU-2), and
  in the State of New York Department of Health's
  response (D-2) to EPA's 104 letters.
- 44. U.S. Ecology
  Identified as a PRP in Reynolds Metals Company's response (VV-1) and in U.S. Ecology's response (VV-2) to EPA's 104 letters.

- Virginia, Maryland, Delaware Association of Electric Cooperatives (VMD)

  Identified as a PRP in A&N Electric Co-op's response (WW-1), in Community Electric Co-op's response (WW-2), in Craig-Botetourt Electric Co-op's response (WW-3), in Mecklenburg Electric Co-op's response (WW-4), in Northern Neck Electric Co-op's response (WW-5), in Northern Virginia Electric Co-op's response (WW-6), in Prince George Electric Co-op's response (WW-7), in Rappahannock Electric Co-op's response (WW-8), in Shenandoah Valley Electric Co-op's response (WW-9), in Southside Electric Co-op's response (WW-10), and in VMD's response (WW-11) to EPA's 104 letters.
- 46. W.T. Edwards & Sons, Inc.
  Identified as a PRP in Broome County Department of
  Public Works' response to EPA's 104 letter (XX-1).

EPA does not have information relating to Norwalk Electric and would appreciate all documentation relating to this entity and its relationship to the Rose Chemical Site. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me.

Sincerely,

J. Scott Pemberton

Assistant Regional Counsel

Enclosure

cc: Russell Selman.